CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
Kevin Murphy			
v.	NO	5 :	18- CV - 01218-GLS-CFH
Onondaga County et al.			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant t status and scheduling conference w Honorable Christian F. Hummel Tuesday , 26 February 2019 a Ne , at by telephone. , p.	ill be hel	d in thi	is case before the
Counsel for all parties or individuals appearing people on accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) on later than twenty-one (21) days before the sca 26(f) conference, a report of the results of the conwith the clerk no later than seven (7) days prior to Matters which the Court will discuss at the state separate subparagraph as necessary if parties discussed. 1) JOINDER OF PARTIES: Any application	with respect to cheduled Rule aference, in the so the schedule us conference sagree):	all of the ag 16 Conferer e format set to ed Rule 16 co will includ	genda items listed below, nce. Following that Rule forth below, must be filed onference with the Court. e the following: (insert a
be made on or before the 1st day of May		, 2019	
2) AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS: Any are shall be made on or before the 1st day of 1	oplication to an	mend the pl , 2019	eadings to this action
B) DISCOVERY: All discovery in this action salay of February , 2020	shall be compl (Discovery tir	leted on or b	to be based on the
MOTIONS: All motions, including discovery day of February , 2020 discovery motions may only be brought after General Order #25)	. (No	n-Dispositi	ive motions including

5) PROPOSED DATE FOR THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL: 7 ready to proceed to trial on or before the 11th day of April	The action wil	ll be . It is
ready to proceed to trial on or before the 11th day of April anticipated that the trial will take approximately 10 days to complete. that the trial be held in Syracuse, N.Y.	The parties r	equest
(The proposed date for the commencement of trial must be within 18 m date).	nonths of the	filing
6) HAVE THE PARTIES FILED A JURY DEMAND: (YE	S) [NC))
7) DOES THE COURT HAVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION PARTIES SUBJECT TO THE COURT'S JURISDICTION? HAVE A SERVED?		
The court has subject matter jurisdiction. All parties, whether or not appeared without asserting the lack of personal jurisdiction.	served, hav	⁄e
8) WHAT ARE THE FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASES FOR PLAINT AND DEFENDANT'S DEFENSES (INCLUDE COUNTERCLAIMS & IF APPLICABLE)?		
The factual and legal basis for the parties' claims and defenses are parties.	as pled by tl	ne
9) WHAT FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES ARE GENUINELY IN I	DISPUTE?	
All factual and legal issues pled by the parties are genuinely in dispu	ıte.	

10) CAN THE ISSUES IN LITIGATION BE NARROWED BY AGREEMENT OR BY MOTIONS? ARE THERE DISPOSITIVE OR PARTIALLY DISPOSITIVE ISSUES APPROPRIATE FOR DECISION ON MOTION?

No issues can be narrowed by agreement. There are not dispositive or partially dispositive issues appropriate for decision on motion.

11) WHAT SPECIFIC RELIEF DO THE PARTIES SEEK? WHAT ARE THE DAMAGES SOUGHT?

Plaintiff seeks a money judgment for damages and costs, including an attorney's fee, and disbursements. Defendants seek a judgment dismissing the complaint and costs, including an attorney's fee.

12) DISCOVERY PLAN:

A. Mandatory Disclosures

The parties will exchange the mandatory disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(1) at least seven (7) days prior to the date of the Rule 16 conference, unless they have obtained prior approval from the assigned Magistrate Judge to extend that deadline.

B. Subjects of Disclosure

The parties jointly agree that discovery will be needed to address the following subjects:

All occurrences, acts, or omissions alleged in the pleadings.

C. Discovery Sequence

Describe the parties' understanding regarding the timing of discovery, and state whether it is anticipated that discovery will be phased to address different issues in stages.

The parties general understanding is that written discovery will be followed by depositions.

D. Written Discovery

Describe the written discovery demands which the parties contemplate serving under Rules 33, 34 and 36, including when they will be promulgated, the areas to be covered, and whether there is any need for any party to exceed the number of interrogatories permitted under Rule 33.

The parties contemplate the likelihood of their serving Rule 33 and Rule 34 demands for discovery regarding all matter relevant to the claims and defenses pleaded by the parties. The parties contemplate the possibility of their serving Rule 36 demands.

E. Depositions

Set forth the parties' expectations regarding depositions, including the approximate number to be taken, their location, a general description of the deponents, and an indication of whether any non-party fact depositions are anticipated.

The parties expectations are that all parties will depose, and that a few non-party fact witnesses will depose, so there will be 11 to 15 depositions to be taken in Onondaga County.

F. Experts

Set forth the parties' expectations regarding the retention of experts, and identify any particular issues to be addressed by the court concerning the retention and exchange of the information regarding experts, including whether the parties seek a variance from the expert disclosure requirements of the form uniform pretrial scheduling order typically issued by the court (i.e., initial expert disclosure at least ninety days, responsive expert disclosures at least forty-five days, and rebuttal reports due at least thirty days, before the close of discovery).

The parties do not expect that experts will be retained. If experts become necessary, the parties will make a separate application to the court.

G. <u>Electronic Discovery</u>

Set forth the parties' understanding and expectations regarding discovery of electronically stored information. This description should include any agreements reached with respect to the retention of electronically stored information and the manner in which it will be produced, if requested. The parties should also identify any agreements regarding the manner in which electronically stored information subject to claims of privilege or work product protection will be handled, and whether a court order will be requested, either on stipulation or otherwise, to address this issue. If an agreement has been reached on the entry of such an order, provide a brief description of the provisions which will be included in a proposed order.

The parties understand and expect that there will discovery of electronically stored information relevant to the parties' claims and defenses, that the parties will retain such electronically stored information as the parties have, and the parties will produce such electronically stored information in a manner agreeable to the parties. Such eletronically stored information that is subject to a claim of privilege or work product will be so identified by sufficient particulars as to enable the court to determine the propriety of the privilege or work product claim.

H. Protective Orders

If the parties anticipate requesting a protective order from the court pursuant to Rule 26(c), describe the basis for the request and nature of the proposed protective order.

The parties do not anticipate requesting any Rule 26 (c) protective orders.

I. Anticipated Issues Requiring Court Intervention

Provide a brief description of any discovery related issues which, the parties reasonably anticipate, may require court intervention.

The parties do not reasonably anticipate any discovery issues that may require court intervention.

13) IS IT POSSIBLE TO REDUCE THE LENGTH OF TRIAL BY STIPULATIONS, USE OF SUMMARIES OR STATEMENTS, OR OTHER EXPEDITED MEANS OF PRESENTING EVIDENCE? IS IT FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE TO BIFURCATE ISSUES FOR TRIAL?

It is not possible to reduce the length of trial by stipulations, summaries, statements, or expedited means of presenting evidence. It is not feasible and desireable to bifurcate issues for trial.

14) ARE THERE RELATED CASES PENDING BEFORE THE JUDGES OF THIS COURT?

Plaintiff might be an expert witness in the case, Glover v Onondaga County Sheriff's Department, having civil action number 5:18-cv-00837-GLS-ATB, that is pending before this court.

- 15) IN CLASS ACTIONS, WHEN AND HOW WILL THE CLASS BE CERTIFIED?
 This is not a class action.
- 16) WHAT ARE THE PROSPECTS FOR SETTLEMENT? Please circle below the prospect for settlement:

HOW CAN SETTLEMENT EFFORTS BE ASSISTED?

The parties think the prospects for settlement are very unlikely and do not think that settlement efforts can be assisted.

(Do not indicate any monetary amounts at this time, settlement will be explored by the Magistrate Judge at the time of the initial status conference)

COMPLETE QUESTION 17 ONLY IF YOUR FILING ORDER COVER SHEET WAS CHECKED AS AN ADR TRACK CASE - Subject to Mandatory Mediation under General Order #47.

17) IF YOUR CASE WAS SELECTED AS A QUALIFYING MANDATORY MEDIATION CASE,

CONFIRM THAT YOU HAVE: A. Reviewed General Order #47? VES B. Reviewed the List of Court Approved Mediators available on the NDNY website? YES C. Prepared to discuss with the Court, at the conference, whether your case should be opted out of the program. YES D. Discussed the time trame needed to complete Mandatory Mediation? Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) a conference was held on 1 February 2019 by telephone with the participation of: (Place) Jeffrey R. Parry for plaintiff(s) John E. Heisler Jr. defendant(s). (party name) defendant(s)

At the Rule 16(b) conference, the Court will issue an order directing the future proceedings in this action. The parties are advised that failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16(f).

Please detach this case management plan form and file electronically with the Clerk no later than seven (7) days in advance of the conference date.

w:\genorder\go25.wpd Case Management Plan November 4, 2013

(party name)